



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/280,637	03/29/1999	TERRY M. ROBAR	OT-4465	6119
26584 75	590 05/24/2002			
OTIS ELEVATOR COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 10 FARM SPRINGS			EXAMINER	
			SNOW, WALTER E	
FARMINGTON, CT 06032			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2862	19
			DATE MAILED: 05/24/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

NP

	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	09/180637	•				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit				
	W. Show	2862				
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet be	neath the correspondence address —				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply to period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuent Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ly within the statutory mining expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to	num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status 🤊 / , ,	1 -	·				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3///	102					
☐ This action is FINAL .						
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 	or formal matters, pros C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	ecution as to the merits is closed in				
Disposition of Claims						
≥ Claim(s) /-33	is/are pending in the application.					
Of the above claim(s) 21-31 A Claim(s) 5 and 32	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
2 Claim(s) 5 and 32	is/are allowed.					
Claim(s) 1-4, 6-1) and 33	is/are rejected.					
♥ Claim(s) / 8 - 2 ð	is/are objected to.					
□, Claim(s)						
Application Papers requirement						
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.						
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner						
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)						
□ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).						
□ All □ Some* □ None of the:						
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received						
in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) *Certified copies not received:						
		•				
Attachment(s)						
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	erview Summary, PTO-413				
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	□ N o	tice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ Ot	her				
Office Action Summary						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Serial Number: 09/280,637

Art Unit: 2862

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6-12, 14-17 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Harrison (US Patent NO. 4,439,731).
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harrison.

Harrison discloses all of the claimed subject matter except for the use of Hall sensors.

It is considered an obvious design consideration to use Hall sensors in the device of Harrison since the use of Hall sensors is old and known in the art.

5. Claims 18-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 3

Serial Number: 09/280,637

Art Unit: 2862

6. Claims 5 and 32 are allowed.

Snow/ds

05/15/02

WALTER E. SNOW PRIMARY EXAMINER